## Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 11 Filed 11/15/10 Page 1 of 3 Case5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document10 Filed11/12/10 Page 1 of 3

MELINDA HAAG (CASBN 132612) United States Attorney 1 J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) 2 Chief, Criminal Division 3 FILED JEFFREY B. SCHENK (CASBN 234355) Assistant United States Attorney 4 NOV 1 5 2010 EDWARD A. PIPER 5 Law Clerk RICHARD W. WIEKING 6 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 SAN JOSE 7 Telephone: (408) 535-2695 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 8 Email: jeffrey.b.schenk@usdoj.gov 9 Attorneys for the United States of America 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN JOSE DIVISION 13 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. CR 10-00551-MAG No. 15 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 16 ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM v. **NOVEMBER 10, 2010 TO** 17 DECEMBER 2, 2010 FROM THE JOSEPH MANUEL PATINO, SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 18 CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § Defendant. 3161(h)(7)(A)19 20 On November 10, 2010, the parties appeared for a hearing before this Court. At that 21 hearing, the government and defense requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act 22 based upon the defense counsel's need to effectively prepare by reviewing discovery materials to 23 be provided by the government. At that time, the Court set the matter for a hearing on December 24 2, 2010. 25 The parties stipulate that the time between November 10, 2010 and December 2, 2010 is 26 excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the 27 requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for 28

## Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 11 Filed 11/15/10 Page 2 of 3

Case5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document10 Filed11/12/10 Page2 of 3

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). DATED: November 12, 2010 **MELINDA HAAG** United States Attorney /s/ JEFFREY B. SCHENK (EDWARD PIPER) Assistant United States Attorney (Law Clerk) /s/ LARA S. VINNARD Attorney for Defendant 

## Case 5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document 11 Filed 11/15/10 Page 3 of 3

Case5:10-cr-00551-HRL Document10 Filed11/12/10 Page3 of 3

**ORDER** 

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between November 10, 2010 and December 2, 2010 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C.

§3161(h)(7)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

HOWARD

NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE